

RESEARCH PROJECTS (Call identifier: IP-02-2020)
Project proposal evaluation criteria – first evaluation round

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR	YES	NO
<p>1. The Principal Investigator has been an active researcher in the past 5 years, as evident from the following:</p> <p>He/she published papers in leading international journals from his/her area of research, in which s/he is the lead or corresponding author, ranked in the first quartile of journals within the scientific area in question according to relevant databases of science (Web of Science or Scopus) <i>(In case the Principal Investigator works in the area of Social Sciences or Humanities, he/she published several A1 articles, books or papers in peer-reviewed books).</i></p>		
<p>2. The Principal Investigator is a prominent scientist with internationally recognised achievements in science and/or technology in the area in which the project proposal is being submitted, as evident from the following (minimum of 5 criteria should be met in order for the answer to be YES):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • guest lectures or seminars at institutions abroad and/or guest/introductory/plenary lectures at international scientific conferences, invited lectures • international conferences, congresses, workshops, summer schools or symposia that he/she organised or where he/she was a member of the Coordination/Management or Organisation Board; • international recognitions, such as scientific awards or memberships of scientific and expert associations • participation in scientific projects (HRZZ, EU Framework Programmes, Horizon 2020, NIH, HERA, bilateral, multilateral and similar projects); • reviewer of articles in international scientific journals in his/her scientific area • member of Editorial Boards of international scientific journals in his/her scientific area • longer periods of stay and trainings at prominent scientific institutions abroad; • proven application of research results in the economic and/or social sector and registered international patents; 		

Project proposal	YES	NO
1. Project proposal has a clear and relevant scientific contribution to the area of research.		
2. The proposed methodology has been described in a clear manner and is appropriate for the proposed research.		
3. Project proposal Work Plan and the expected results have been clearly described and are ambitious.		
4. The proposed research contributes in a unique manner to the field and fits into the terms and conditions of the Call defining the type of research to be financed within this Call (if one criterion applies to the project proposal, the answer to the question is NO).		

RESEARCH PROJECTS (Call identifier: IP-02-2020)
Project proposal evaluation criteria – first evaluation round

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Research whose results are very close to being applied • Research which has a commercial purpose or is implemented in collaboration with a commercial partner. Partner organizations that are not public scientific organizations may not achieve any commercial profit from the implementation of this project proposal • Research based on sub-contracting commercial companies for the implementation of the research plan; • Expert projects • Infrastructural projects • Research to be implemented as part of collaboration in international partnerships or as part of international (longitudinal) research or as part of long-term research • Research that replicates previously conducted research or research that is already financed from other sources. Research that will be supported by the HRZZ should have a separate relevant effect on the society or the creation of new knowledge and technologies; • Individual projects of Principal Investigators that do not involve collaborators. 		
<p>5. The proposed research is carried out by a competent research group with clear tasks</p>		
<p>6. Financial plan has a clear and logical connection with the project proposal and the requested funds are realistic.</p>		

Final mark:

- A- The project proposal is referred to the second evaluation round (peer review)
- B- The project proposal is not referred to the second evaluation round

Please explain the assessment of the project proposal for project proposals which are not being referred to the second evaluation round:

In case the project proposal is being referred to peer review, please explain your assessment and specify those projects or scientific publications which qualify the Applicant and their expected contribution to the project proposal: